SUPREME COURT MINUTES MONDAY, APRIL 17, 2000 SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA

S076103 Robin Moshonov, Plaintiff and Respondent,

v.

John D. Walsh et al., Defendants and Appellants.

The judgment of the Court of Appeal is affirmed.

Werdegar, J.

We Concur:

George, C.J.

Baxter, J.

Chin, J.

Brown, J.

Concurring Opinion by Kennard, J.

I Concur:

Mosk, J.

S076239 James W. Moore et al., Plaintiffs and Appellants,

V.

First Bank of San Luis Obispo, Defendant and Respondent. The judgment of the Court of Appeal is affirmed.

Werdegar, J.

We Concur:

George, C.J.

Baxter, J.

Chin, J.

Brown, J.

Concurring Opinion by Kennard, J.

I Concur:

Mosk, J.

S083305 People, Respondent

v.

Michael Thomas Cheek, Appellant

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's answer brief on the merits is extended to and including April 28, 2000.

S083916 Stanley Swenson, Jr., M.D., Respondent

V

County of Los Angeles, Appellant

On application of respondent and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file respondent's answer brief on the merits is extended to and including April 27, 2000.

S077350 Smith A. Ketchum, III, Appellant

V

John M. Moses, Respondent

The application of appellant to file a consolidated response to multiple amicus curiae briefs is hereby DENIED.

S080670 Roger Galland et al., Respondents

v.

City of Clovis et al., Appellants

The application of 76 California Cities for permission to file an amicus curiae brief in support of appellants is hereby granted.

A consolidated answer to multiple amici curiae briefs may be served and filed by any party within twenty days of the filing of the brief.

S083632 Heather Preston, Appellant

V.

State Board of Equalization, Respondent

Upon application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the appllant may file an oversized reply brief on the merits not to exceed thirty pages.

S050082 People, Respondent

v.

Christopher Adam Geier, Appellant

Upon request of appellant for appointment of counsel, Glen Niemy is hereby appointed to represent appellant Christopher Adam Geier for habeas corpus/executive clemency proceedings related to the above automatic appeal now pending in this court.

S083297 People, Respondent

v.

Phillip Edward Kemp, Appellant

Upon request of appellant for appointment of counsel, David Martin is hereby appointed to represent appellant on his appeal now pending in this court.

S085218 People, Respondent

v.

Randall Ray Hinger, Appellant

Upon request of appellant for appointment of counsel, Appellate Defenders Inc. is hereby appointed to represent appellant on his appeal now pending in this court.

Appellant's brief on the merits shall be served and filed on or before thirty (30) days from the date of this order.

2nd Dist. People

B134543

v.

Earl Rose

The above entitled matter, now pending in the Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District, is transferred from Division Six to Division Three.

Bar Misc. 4186 In the Matter of the Application of the Committee of Bar Examiners of the State of California for Admission of Attorneys

The written motion of the Committee of Bar Examiners that the following named applicants, who have fulfilled the requirements for admission to practice law in the State of California, be admitted to the practice of law in this state is hereby granted, with permission to the applicants to take the oath before a competent officer at another time and place:

(LIST OF NAMES ATTACHED TO ORIGINAL ORDER)